

BENCHMARKING INTERGOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS' DEVELOPMENT OF MINIMUM STANDARDS FOR FISHERIES ELECTRONIC MONITORING SYSTEMS

by
Eric Gilman
Fisheries Research Group
EGilman@utas.edu.au

Benchmarking Intergovernmental Organizations' Development of Minimum Standards for Fisheries Electronic Monitoring Systems

Fisheries monitoring programs supply data required for scientific, compliance monitoring and ecological and social sustainability assessments. Fisheries electronic monitoring (EM) systems are increasingly being used to complement and replace conventional human onboard observer programs, and to initiate at-sea monitoring where none previously existed (Gilman et al., 2019; van Helmond et al., 2019). EM systems typically use onboard cameras, global positioning systems, sensors and data loggers to collect information on fishing, transshipment and supply vessel activities. They include office-based staff who analyze imagery (video and/or single frame still photos) and sensor data and input the data into a database. EM systems can be implemented as voluntary programs or as formal programs of national or regional management authorities that have legal and regulatory jurisdiction over the vessels being monitored, where records that result from processing and analyzing EM data are input into a national or regional observer/EM program database.

The US, Australia and Canada have established, fully implemented EM programs. Chile, European Union, New Zealand, Peru, United Kingdom, and some Pacific small island developing states have completed pilots and are considering or planning fully implemented EM programs (van Helmond et al., 2019). While this is tremendous progress, there are an estimated 4.6 million fishing vessels globally – and most of these are in fisheries with no at-sea monitoring (Gilman et al., 2014; FAO, 2020). There is therefore a large monitoring deficit in need of being filled – and a large role for EM in filling this gap.

EM systems can collect most data fields of conventional observer programs (Gilman et al., 2019; Emery et al., 2018). When properly designed, EM systems have several advantages over conventional human observer programs, including overcoming main sources of statistical sampling bias (observer effect, observer displacement effect, coercion and corruption) faced by observer programs (Babcock et al. 2003; Benoit and Allard 2009; Gilman et al., 2020), allowing at-sea monitoring of small-scale fishing and support vessels that present various challenges for placement of human observers, enabling multiple areas of vessels to be monitored simultaneously and near-continuously, and allowing questionable data to be audited and corrected. EM systems, when used on vessels that also have observers, can enable the observers to focus on monitoring tasks, such as biological sampling, that might not be otherwise be feasible (Emery et al., 2018).

This brief report benchmarks the status of the development of minimum standards for fisheries EM systems by intergovernmental organizations and arrangements. Minimum standards for fisheries EM systems are needed to define technical specifications for selecting, installing, operating and maintaining EM equipment (e.g., cameras, sensors and data storage devices) and software; logistical specifications related to how EM data are stored and transferred; minimum requirements for EM analysts and their accreditation; and operational specifications on EM data fields and data collection protocols and on how EM data are reviewed (Restrepo et al., 2018; ACAP, 2021; IATTC, 2020, 2021a; IOTC, 2021a).

The study scope included 15 regional fisheries management organizations and arrangements (RFMO/As) and 4 intergovernmental bodies with remits broader than managing fishery resource, listed in Table 1. RFMO/As are a type of regional fishery body that has a mandate to adopt

measures that are binding on their members. Unlike RFMOs, RFMAs have a form of arrangement through which States adopt binding conservation and management measures that do not provide for the establishment of a Secretariat under a governing body of member States (FAO, 2021). The Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources (CCAMLR), North Atlantic Salmon Conservation Organization (NASCO) and North Pacific Anadromous Fish Commission (NPAFC), which have a wider mandate than the management of fisheries or fishery resources, were also included as these management bodies adopt fisheries conservation and management measures that are binding on their members (Gilman et al., 2014). The study excluded the Convention on the Conservation and Management of Pollock Resources in the Central Bering Sea (CCBSP) and the International Baltic Sea Fishery Commission (IBFC). There are currently no active CCBSP-managed fisheries and IBFC was dissolved in 2005 (Gilman et al., 2014). The International Whaling Commission (IWC) was included because aboriginal subsistence whaling is permitted under current IWC regulations, and in the past, there has been special permit whaling operating under the IWC, and while a moratorium on commercial whaling has been in effect since the 1985/1986 season, Iceland recently conducted commercial whaling under reservation to the moratorium (IWC, 2019).

Table 1. Status of the development of minimum fisheries EM standards by intergovernmental organizations and arrangements.

Name	Acronym	EM standards:		Citation
		1 adopted	2 draft	
		3 planned	4 being discussed	
		5 not being discussed		
RFMO/As				
Commission for the Conservation of Southern Bluefin Tuna	CCSBT	4		CCSBT, 2022a,b
General Fisheries Commission for the Mediterranean	GFCM	4		GFCM, 2022
Indian Ocean Tuna Commission	IOTC	2		Murua et al., 2020; IOTC, 2021a,b, 2022
Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission ¹	IATTC	2,3,4		IATTC, 2020; 2021a,b,c; 2022a,b,c
International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas	ICCAT	3		ICCAT, 2021, 2022
International Pacific Halibut Commission	IPHC	5		IPHC, 2023
Joint Norwegian-Russian Fisheries Commission	JNRFC	5		--
North East Atlantic Fisheries Commission ²	NEAFC	4		NEAFC, 2021
Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization	NAFO	4		NAFO, 2022a,b
North Pacific Fisheries Commission	NPFC	4		NPFC, 2020, 2021
Pacific Salmon Commission	PSC	5		PSC, 2022
South East Atlantic Fisheries Organisation	SEAFO	5		SEAFO, 2015, 2022a,b
Southern Indian Ocean Fisheries Agreement	SIOFA	4		SIOFA, 2021a,b, 2022a,b
South Pacific Regional Fisheries Management Organisation	SPRFMO	4		SPRFMO, 2022
Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission	WCPFC	2		WCPFC, 2022a,b
Remit broader than managing fishery resources				
Commission for the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources	CCAMLR	4		CCAMLR, 2022
International Whaling Commission	IWC	5		Lent, 2023
North Atlantic Salmon Conservation Organization ³	NASCO	5		NASCO, 2020, 2022
North Pacific Anadromous Fish Commission	NPAFC	5		NPAFC, 2020, 2021

¹ IATTC has adopted EM definitions (IATTC 2021a), has adopted a detailed workplan for implementation of an eastern Pacific Ocean EM system, convened a series of workshops that discussed and drafted EM system standards on the institutional structure (IATTC, 2021b), the goals and scope of an EM system (IATTC, 2021c), some subcomponents of the EMS management considerations (IATTC, 2022a), technical standards (IATTC, 2022b) and data collection priorities (IATTC, 2022c). Components of EM standards on financial considerations, data collection and reporting, and EM coverage and review rates remain to be developed.

² NEAFC has limited observer provisions under Recommendation 19:2014.

³ A small West Greenland fishery is the only at-sea fisheries for salmon in the North Atlantic conducted by NASCO's Contracting Parties.

References for EM Standards

- ACAP. 2021. ACAP Guidelines on Fisheries Electronic Monitoring. Agreement on the Conservation of Albatrosses and Petrels, Hobart, Australia.
- CCAMLR, 2022. Report for the Forty-first meeting of the Scientific Committee. SC-CAMLR-41: <https://meetings.ccamlr.org/system/files/meeting-reports/e-sc-41-prelim-v2.1.pdf>
- CCSBT. 2022a. Paragraph 51. Report from the Technical Compliance Working Group. Pp. 13-14 in CCSBT. Report of the Seventeenth Meeting of the Compliance Committee. Commission for the Conservation of Southern Bluefin Tuna, Hobart, Australia.
- CCSBT. 2022b. Attachment 13. Proposed revisions to the CCSBT Scientific Observer Program Standards to allow for the use of electronic monitoring systems. IN CCSBT. Report of the Twenty Seventh Meeting of the Scientific Committee. Commission for the Conservation of Southern Bluefin Tuna, Hobart, Australia.
- GFCM. 2022. Main elements for the development of the GFCM fisheries restricted areas toolkit. Appendix 13/Annex 1 of the GFCM Report of the 23rd Session of the Scientific Advisory Committee on Fisheries. NFIGD/R1395. General Fisheries Commission for the Mediterranean, Rome.
- IATTC. 2020. An Electronic Monitoring System for the Tuna Fisheries in the Eastern Pacific Ocean: Objectives and Standards. Document SAC-11-10. Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission, La Jolla, USA
- IATTC. 2021a. Definitions used in the implementation of an electronic monitoring system for the tuna fisheries of the Antigua Convention area. Resolution C-21-03. Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission, La Jolla, USA.
- IATTC. 2021b. Institutional structure of an EMS in the EPO. Document EMS-02-01. Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission, La Jolla, USA.
- IATTC. 2021c. Goals and scope of an EMS in the EPO. Document EMS-02-02 (REV). Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission, La Jolla, USA.
- IATTC. 2022a. EMS Management considerations. Document EMS-03-01. Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission, La Jolla, USA.
- IATTC. 2022b. Technical standards of an EMS. Document EMS-04-01. Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission, La Jolla, USA.
- IATTC. 2022c. Data collection priorities of an EMS. Document EMS-04-02. Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission, La Jolla, USA.
- ICCAT. 2021. Standing Committee on Research and Statistics (SCRS). Report of the Standing Committee on Research and Statistics (SCRS). International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas, Madrid.
- ICCAT. 2022. Report of the Meeting of the Working Group on Electronic Monitoring Systems (WG-EMS). International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas, Madrid.
- IOTC. 2021a. Draft Terms of Reference for the Ad-Hoc Working Group on the Development of Electronic Monitoring Programme Standards (WGEMS). IOTC-2021-WPEMS01-10. Indian Ocean Tuna Commission, Mahe, Seychelles.
- IOTC. 2021b. Report of the 1st Session of the IOTC Ad-hoc Working Group on the Development of Electronic Monitoring Programme Standards. IOTC-2021-WGEMS-R[E]. Indian Ocean Tuna Commission, Mahe, Seychelles.
- IOTC. 2022. Resolution 22/04 on a Regional Observer Scheme. Indian Ocean Tuna Commission, Mahe, Seychelles.
- IPHC. 2023. Minimum Data Collection Standards for Pacific Halibut by Scientific Observer Programs. IPHC-2023-AM099-16.
- Lent, R. 2023. Personal communication, 4 Jan. 2023, International Whaling Commission, Cambridge, UK.
- Murua, H., Fiorellato, F., Ruiz, J., Chassot, E., Restrepo, V. 2020. Minimum standards for designing and implementing electronic monitoring systems in Indian Ocean tuna fisheries. IOTC-2020-SC23-12[E] rev2. 23rd session of the Scientific Committee. Online. 7 – 11 December 2020. Indian Ocean Tuna Commission, Mahe, Seychelles.
- NAFO. 2022a. Report of the STACTIC Observer Program Review Working Group (WG-OPR) Meeting, 26-28 July 2022. NAFO COM Doc22-06R (Rev.). North Atlantic Fisheries Organization, Dartmouth, Nova Scotia, Canada.
- NAFO. 2022b. Report of the NAFO Commission and its Subsidiary Bodies. 44th Annual Meeting, 19-23 September 2022. NAFO COM Doc 22-03. North Atlantic Fisheries Organization, Dartmouth, Nova Scotia, Canada.

- NASCO. 2020. Updated Plan for Implementation of Monitoring and Control Measures in the Salmon Fishery at West Greenland. Document WGC(15)20. North Atlantic Salmon Conservation Organization, Edinburgh.
- NASCO. 2022. Agenda of the Thirty-Ninth Annual Meeting of the Council. Document CNL(22)42. North Atlantic Salmon Conservation Organization, Edinburgh.
- NEAFC. 2021. Permanent Committee on Management and Science (PECMAS) 6-7 October 2021 Virtual Report. PECMAS-2020-01 Report. North-East Atlantic Fisheries Commission.
- NPAFC. 2020. North Pacific Anadromous Fish Commission Science Plan 2016-2022. Doc. 1665 Rev 1. North Pacific Anadromous Fish Commission
- NPFC. 2020. 5th Scientific Committee Meeting Report. NPFC-2020-SC05-Final Report. North Pacific Fisheries Commission, Vancouver.
- NPAFC. 2021. North Pacific Anadromous Fish Commission Annual Report 2021. North Pacific Anadromous Fish Commission, Vancouver.
- NPFC. 2021. 6th Meeting Report. NPFC-2021-SC06-Final Report. North Pacific Fisheries Commission.
- PSC. 2022. Pacific Salmon Commission 2021/2022 Thirty-Seventh Annual Report. Pacific Salmon Commission, Vancouver, B.C., Canada.
- Restrepo, V., A. Justel-Rubio, H. Koehler and J. Ruiz. 2018. Minimum Standards for Electronic Monitoring Systems in Tropical Tuna Purse Seine Fisheries. ISSF Technical Report 2018-04. International Seafood Sustainability Foundation, Washington, D.C., USA
- SEAFO. 2015. System of Observation, Inspection, Compliance and Enforcement. South East Atlantic Fisheries Organisation, Swakopmund, Namibia.
- SEAFO. 2022a. South East Atlantic Fisheries Organisation Report of the 19th Annual Meeting of the Commission. South East Atlantic Fisheries Organisation, Swakopmund, Namibia.
- SEAFO. 2022b. South East Atlantic Fisheries Organisation Report of the 18th Annual Meeting of the Scientific Committee - 2022. South East Atlantic Fisheries Organisation, Swakopmund, Namibia.
- SIOFA. 2021a. Report of the Workshop on Harmonisation of Scientific Observers' Programmes of the Southern Indian Ocean Fisheries Agreement (SIOFA). Southern Indian Ocean Fisheries Agreement, Saint-Denis Cedex, La Reunion.
- SIOFA. 2021b. Conservation and Management Measure for the Collection, Reporting, Verification and Exchange of Data Relating to Fishing Activities in the Agreement Area (Data Standards). CMM 2021/02. Southern Indian Ocean Fisheries Agreement, Saint-Denis Cedex, La Reunion.
- SIOFA. 2022a. Report of the Ninth Meeting of the Parties to the Southern Indian Ocean Fisheries Agreement (SIOFA). Southern Indian Ocean Fisheries Agreement, Saint-Denis Cedex, La Reunion.
- SIOFA. 2022b. Report of the Seventh Meeting of the Scientific Committee of the Southern Indian Ocean Fisheries Agreement (SIOFA SC7). Southern Indian Ocean Fisheries Agreement, Saint-Denis Cedex, La Reunion.
- SPRFMO. 2022. Section 3.4, Electronic Monitoring to Support the Commission's Objectives. Pp. 9-10 in 10th Scientific Committee Meeting Report. SPRFMO SC10-Report 2022. South Pacific Regional Fisheries Management Organisation, Wellington. <https://www.sprfmo.int/assets/Meetings/SC/10th-SC-2022/SC10-Report-Final-21Oct2022a.pdf>.
- WCPFC. 2022a. Summary of the Work Undertaken by the Electronic Reporting and Electronic Monitoring Working Group (ERand EM WG) in 2022. WCPFC-2022-ERandEMWG-01. Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission, Kolonia, Federated States of Micronesia. <https://meetings.wcpfc.int/node/18165>
- WCPFC. 2022b. Standards, Specifications and Procedures for the WCPFC Electronic Monitoring Program. Annex to WCPFC Circular No. 2022/09. Western and Central Pacific Fisheries Commission, Kolonia, Federated States of Micronesia. <https://www.wcpfc.int/file/774708/download?token=SmKCerJ> .

Other References

- ACAP. 2021. *ACAP Guidelines on Fisheries Electronic Monitoring Systems*. SBWG10 Doc 14. Prepared by: Gilman, E., Goad, D., Parker, G., Barrington, J., Debski, I., Kim, M., Mangel, J., Melvin, E., Morgan, K. Agreement on the Conservation of Albatrosses and Petrels, Hobart, Australia. <https://www.acap.aq/working-groups/seabird-bycatch-working-group/sbwg-10/sbwg10-meeting-documents/3790-sbwg10-doc-14-acap-guidelines-on-fisheries-electronic-monitoring-systems/file>
- Babcock E, Pikitch E, Hudson G (2003) *How Much Observer Coverage is Enough to Adequately Estimate Bycatch?* Pew Institute for Ocean Science, Miami, and Oceana, Washington, D.C.

- Benoit H, Allard J (2009). Can the data from at-sea observer surveys be used to make general inferences about catch composition and discards? *Can J Fish Aquat* 66:2025-2039
- Emery, T.J., Noriega, R., Williams, A., et al. 2018. The use of electronic monitoring within tuna longline fisheries: implications for international data collection, analysis and reporting. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries* 28: 887–907.
- FAO. 2020. *The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2020. Sustainability in Action*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.
- FAO. 2021. What are Regional Fishery Bodies (RFBs)? Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.
- Gilman, E., Castejon, V.D.R., Loganimoce, E., Chaloupka, M. 2020. Capability of a pilot fisheries electronic monitoring system to meet scientific and compliance monitoring objectives. *Marine Policy* 113: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2019.103792>
- Gilman, E., Legorburu, G., Fedoruk, A., Heberer, C., Zimring, M., Barkai, A. 2019. Increasing the functionalities and accuracy of fisheries electronic monitoring systems. *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 29: 901-926
- Gilman, E., Passfield, K., Nakamura, K. 2014. Performance of regional fisheries management organizations: ecosystem-based governance of bycatch and discards. *Fish and Fisheries* 15: 327-351
- IWC. 2019. International Convention for the Regulation of Whaling, 1946. Schedule. As Amended by the Commission at the 67th Annual Meeting, Florianopolis, Brazil, September, 2018, International Whaling Commission, London.
- Van Helmond, A., Catchpole, T., Mortensen, L., et al. 2019. Electronic monitoring in fisheries: Lessons from global experiences and future opportunities. *Fish and Fisheries* 21: 162-189.